UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			
X	CIV	3902	2007
CRECORY V PIATT.			

Plaintiff

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

v.

DEFENDANTS DEMAND TRIAL BY JURY

MARC S. LACHAR AND JOAN B. LACHAR,

Defendants																																			
_			_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_					_	_	_	_	_	_	_ •	v

Defendants, Marc S. Lachar and Joan B. Lachar, by their attorneys, EUSTACE & MARQUEZ, answer the Complaint of the Plaintiff by stating as follows:

- 1. Deny, upon information and belief, the allegations of paragraph 5.
- 2. Deny, upon information and belief, the allegations of paragraph 6.
- 3. Deny, upon information and belief, the allegations of paragraph 7.
- 4. Deny, upon information and belief, the allegations of paragraph 8.
- 5. Deny, upon information and belief, the allegations of paragraph 1 and respectfully refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court.
- 6. Deny having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 3

and respectfully refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court.

# AS AND FOR A FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE THESE ANSWERING DEFENDANTS ALLEGE AS FOLLOWS:

7. The injuries alleged to have been suffered by the Plaintiff were caused, in whole or part, by the conduct of Plaintiff. Plaintiff's claims therefore are barred or diminished in the proportion that such culpable conduct of Plaintiff bears to the total culpable conduct causing the damages.

## AS AND FOR A SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE THESE ANSWERING DEFENDANTS ALLEGE AS FOLLOWS:

8. The injuries and damages alleged in the Complaint were caused or contributed to by the culpable conduct including contributory negligence, assumption of the risk and/or product misuse of persons over whom these Defendants had no authority or control.

# AS AND FOR A THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE THESE ANSWERING DEFENDANTS ALLEGE AS FOLLOWS:

9. The Plaintiff failed to mitigate the damages as alleged in the Complaint by failure to wear or use seatbelts, shoulder harnesses, or other restraints or protective devices, at the time and place of the alleged incident, and any award made to or accepted by the Plaintiff must be reduced in such proportion to the extent that the injuries complained of were

caused, aggravated or contributed to by the Plaintiff's failure to use such protective devices.

## AS AND FOR A FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE THESE ANSWERING DEFENDANTS ALLEGE AS FOLLOWS:

10. The Emergency Doctrine applies to the facts and circumstances of this action.

# AS AND FOR A FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE THESE ANSWERING DEFENDANTS ALLEGE AS FOLLOWS:

11. The Plaintiff is precluded from maintaining this action by Insurance Law Article 51 in that Plaintiff has failed to sustain a serious injury or economic loss greater than the basic economic loss as defined by that law.

# AS AND FOR A SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE THESE ANSWERING DEFENDANTS ALLEGE AS FOLLOWS:

12. That recovery, if any, by the Plaintiff shall be reduced by the amounts paid or reimbursed by collateral sources in accordance with CPLR 4545(c).

## AS AND FOR A SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE THESE ANSWERING DEFENDANTS ALLEGE AS FOLLOWS:

13. That if it is determined that these answering

Defendants are responsible for the acts alleged in the Complaint
then Plaintiff failed to take appropriate action to mitigate any
damages.

WHEREFORE, these Defendants demand judgment dismissing the Complaint, together with costs and disbursements, and in the event any judgment or settlement is recovered herein against these Defendants, then these Defendants further demand that such judgment be reduced by the amount which is proportionate to the degree of culpability of any plaintiff, and these Defendants further demand judgment against each other party.

DATED: August 23, 2007 White Plains, New York

Yours, etc.,

EUSTACE & MARQUEZ

Attorneys for Defendants
MARC S. LACHAR AND JOAN B.
LACHAR
Office and Post Office
Address
1311 Mamaroneck Avenue
3rd Floor
White Plains, New York 10605
(914) 989-6650

By:

Christine J. Klein

(CJK 4713)

To:

Jay S. Hausman & Associates, PC. Attorneys for Plaintiff, Gregory V. Piatt 280 North Central Avenue Hartsdale, New York 10530 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
----x
GREGORY V. PIATT,

Index No.: CIV 3902

2007

Plaintiff

NOTICE OF DEPOSITION

v.

MARC S. LACHAR AND JOAN B. LACHAR,

Defendants -----x

#### TO: GREGORY V. PIATT

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that Defendants, Marc S. Lachar and Joan B. Lachar, by their attorneys, Eustace & Marquez, demands that each adverse party afford us the disclosure which this notice and demand specifies:

- A. GREGORY V. PIATT is to appear for deposition upon oral examination pursuant to FRCPV 30:
- (1) At this date and time: December 14, 2007 at 10:00 am
- (2) At this place: Eustace & Marquez

1311 Mamaroneck Avenue

3<sup>rd</sup> Floor

White Plains, NY 10605

B. Each deposition witness thus examined is to produce at such time and place, pursuant to FRCPV 30, all books, papers, and other things which are relevant to the issues in the action and within that Adverse party's possession, custody, or control to

be marked as exhibits, and used on the examination.

Dated: White Plains, New York August 23, 2007

> Yours, etc., EUSTACE & MARQUEZ Attorneys for DEFENDANTS MARC S. LACHAR AND JOAN B. LACHAR Office and Post Office Address 1311 Mamaroneck Avenue 3rd Floor White Plains, New York 10605(914) 989-6650

By:

Christine J. Kleith (CJK 4713)

TO:

Jay S. Hausman & Associates, PC. Attorneys for Plaintiff, Gregory V. Piatt 280 North Central Avenue Hartsdale, New York 10530

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 23, 2007, I electronically filed the foregoing Answer to Complaint and Notice of Deposition with the Clerk of the SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK using its CM/ECF system, which would then electronically notify the following CM/ECF participants on this case:

PIATT V LACHAR CIV 3902 2007

Jay S. Hausman & Associates, PC. 280 North Central Avenue Hartsdale, New York 10530

S/Jazmin L. Coyle
Paralegal to
Christine J. Klein
EUSTACE & MARQUEZ
Attorneys for Defendants
Marc S. Lachar and Joan B. Lachar
1311 Mamaroneck Avenue
3rd Floor
White Plains, New York 10605
(914) 989-6650

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----x CIV 3902 2007

GREGORY V. PIATT,

Plaintiff

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

v.

MARC S. LACHAR AND JOAN B. LACHAR,

Defendants
-----STATE OF NEW YORK

ss.

COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER
)

Jazmin L. Coyle, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

- 1. That I am over the age of eighteen years (18) and not a party to this action.
- 2. That on August 23, 2007, I served upon:

Jay S. Hausman & Associates, PC. Attorneys for Plaintiff, Gregory V. Piatt 280 North Central Avenue Hartsdale, New York 10530

A true copy of the annexed ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND NOTICE OF DEPOSITION by depositing it endorsed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper, in a post office or, official depository under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York, at the address designated by him or her upon the last paper served by him or her in the action.

DATED: August 23, 2007

White Plains, New York

Jazmin L. Coyle

Sworn and subscribed

Before me op August 23, 2007

Netary Public, State of New York

Qualified in Rockland County

Commission Expires

CIV 3902 2007

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

GREGORY V. PIATT,

Plaintiff

against

MARC S. LACHAR AND JOAN B. LACHAR,

Defendants

### ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND NOTICE OF DEPOSITION

EUSTACE & MARQUEZ
Attorneys for Defendants

Marc S. Lachar and Joan B. Lachar
Office and Post Office Address
1311 Mamaroneck Avenue
3rd Floor
White Plains, New York 10605
(914) 989-6650